

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,429	08/04/2003	Rouhollah Esmailzadeh	PA5309	4534
75	90 12/16/2004		EXAM	INER
DON B. FINKELSTEIN, ESQ.			PATEL, DHIRUBHAI R	
LAW OFFICES	OF DON DINKELSTEIN			
SUITE 216			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3858 CARSON STREET			2831	
TODDANCE (~Δ 90503			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/633,429	ESMAILZADEH, ROUHOLLAH			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		DHIRU R PATEL	2831			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u>	ugust 2003.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14 and 16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 15 and 17 is/are objected to.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1 Claims 14-17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 14 line 2, " a r base" should be - a base --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

Sieczkowski (6,530,681).

Sieczkowski discloses:

Regarding claim 14, a cover plate arrangement comprising, in combination:

a base plate 22 (see fig 1, column 4 lines 34-40) having an outer surface and an inner

surface and a central axis (see fig 1), and walls defining a nipple accepting aperture 28

alined with said central axis and extending therethrough (see fig 1, column 4 lines 40-

Application/Control Number: 10/633,429 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

45): an inner mounting plate 20 (see fig 1)extending inwardly from said inner surface of said base plate (see fig 1 and entire column 5); a plurality of mounting members 68 on said inner mounting plate for interconnection to a recessed lighting fixture and said plurality of mounting members comprising at least one hook mounting member 68 (see fig 1 and entire column 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sieczkowski (6,530,681).

Sieczkowski discloses:

Regarding claim 16, the assemblies of Sieczkowski disclose all the features of the claimed invention as shown above, but fails to disclose five hook mounting members.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any number of hook mounting members, since it has

Art Unit: 2831

been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-13 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 15 and 17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reasons for the indication of the allowability of claims 1-13 are the inclusion therein, in combination as currently claimed, of the limitation of said inner mounting plate having a bottom flange portion adjacent said inner surface of said base plate and said bottom flange portion having an outer edge spaced from said outer rim, and an upright flange portion spaced from said outer edge of said bottom flange portion and extending inwardly from said inner surface of said base plate a second preselected distance greater than said first preselected distance (for claim 1-8), an "L" shaped inner mounting plate, and the leg portion of said "L" shaped inner mounting plate coupled to said inner surface of said base plate and the upright portion of said "L "shaped mounting plate extending inwardly from said inner surface of said base plate (for claims 9-13).

The previously listed limitation is neither disclosed nor taught by the prior art of record, alone or in combination.

Other prior art cited

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. GARVIN, Wimberly, Endelson, Sevack, Thomas, and Yan disclose a cover plate similar to applicant's claimed invention.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DHIRU R PATEL whose telephone number is 571-272-1983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 6:30 TO 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1982. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DHIRU R PATEL Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2831